### ALANNA D. COOPERSMITH, SBN 248447 Attorney at Law, 717 Washington Street Oakland, California 94607 T-(510) 628-0596 3 F-(866) 365-9759 alanna@eastbaydefense.com 4 Attorney for Defendant, 5 James Pegueros 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 8 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Nos. 22-CR-43 WHO, 15-CR-543 CRB 10 Plaintiff, 11 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] VS. 12 ORDER FOR CONTINUANCE OF JAMES PEGUEROS, STATUS AND EXCLUSION OF TIME 13 Defendant. 14 15 Defendant James Pegueros, by and through his counsel, Alanna Coopersmith, and the 16 Government, by and through Assistant United States Attorney, Ilham Hosseini, stipulate and 17 agree as follows: 18 Mr. Pegueros is charged with felon in possession of a firearm in violation of 18 U.S.C. 19 922(g) in Docket 22-CR-43 WHO. On the basis of the same underlying allegations, he is also 20 charged with violation of supervised release in Docket 15-CR-543 CRB. On February 11, 2022, 2.1 the government filed a Notice of Related Cases and both cases were assigned to the Honorable 22 23 Charles R. Breyer. A status hearing is set in each matter for August 5, 2022. To date, the government has produced tranches of electronic discovery, including 24 25 surveillance and body-worn camera footage. Defense counsel is in the process of analyzing the discovery and reviewing it with her client at Santa Rita Jail. She requests a continuance of the 26

status hearing to allow a sufficient opportunity to confer with the defendant and develop defenses

for trial. At the same time, the parties are negotiating a potential resolution.

27

28

## 

1	Therefore, the parties stipulate and agree to a continuance of the status hearing to	
2	September 28, 2022 and agree that that there is good cause for an exclusion of time under the	
3	Speedy Trial Act from August 5, 2022 to September 28, 2022, and that time should be excluded	
4	under the provisions of the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv), for the	
5	purpose of effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.	
6	See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties stipulate, and ask the Court to find, that the	
7	requested exclusion of time is in the interests of justice and outweigh the best interest of the	
8	public and defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Furthermore, the parties	
9	concur that the status hearing in the related supervised release matter should be continued to the	
10	same date, September 28, 2022, and that the provisions of the Speedy Trial Act do not apply.	
11	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
12		
13	DATED: <u>8/4/2022</u>	Respectfully submitted,
14		STEPHANIE HINDS
15		United States Attorney
16		
17		/s/
18		ILHAM HOSSEINI Assistant United States Attorney
19		Assistant Cinted States Attorney
20	DATED:8/4/2022	
21		/s/
22		ALANNA COOPERSMITH Attorney for Defendant,
23		JAMES PEGUEROS
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

# 

### [PROPOSED] ORDER

Based on the foregoing stipulation of the parties and for good cause shown, the Court finds there is good cause for an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act for effective preparation of counsel and that an exclusion of time from August 5, 2022 to September 28, 2022, is in the interests of justice and outweighs the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv).

It is hereby ORDERED that the status hearing in Docket 22-CR-43 and Docket 15-CR-543 shall be continued to September 28, 2022.

It is further ORDERED that the period from August 5, 2022 through September 28, 2022, be excluded from the time period for Speedy Trial calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).

### IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: <u>August 5, 2022</u>

HON. CHARLES R. BREYER United States District Court Judge